## **ISA 240 Response from Audit Committee Chair 2018/19**

## Fraud risk assessment

Auditor Question	Response

Chartered Accountants Member firm within Grant Thornton International Ltd Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2P 2YU A list of members is available from our registered office.

assessed the risk of material misstatement in the financial statements due to fraud? From the work that has come before the Audit Committee in the previous financial year, from officers, from SWAP as our internal auditors, and from previous Grant Thornton reports. I have a high level of confidence that this has been addressed in a number of ways. Our general control environment around fraud controls has not changed from previous years, and I would consider that the following are relevant factors:- The Anti-Fraud and Corruption report that came to Audit Committee in January 2019, showed that officers had considered all the national trends in fraud, and that SWAP audits and other measures such as participation in the National Fraud Initiative are targeted against the greatest risks. SWAP also make provision for anti-fraud work through their continued "key control audits" as part of their planned work programme. These audits focus on our financial and related systems that are exposed to the risk of fraudulent activity. I am aware that the work on the National Fraud Initiative has shown that our controls on Accounts Payable are already finding these potential issues and that these have already been resolved. We have a lot of confidence in our key systems such as Accounts Payable and Accounts Receivable. There are all the normal controls in our SAP Financial system that makes fraud less likely, such as division of duties around ordering and receiving of goods. Also, I am told that with our difficult financial position, only the most senior officers can approve orders for the higher cost orders, with anything over £50,000 needing to be signed off by a SLT officer. There have been no comments from SWAP in their audits of these key systems that have raised fraud alarms.	Have the Council and Pension Fund	Yes.
<ul> <li>misstatement in the financial statements due to fraud?</li> <li>From the work that has come before the Audit Committee in the previous financial year, from officers, from SWAP as our internal auditors, and from previous Grant Thornton reports. I have a high level of confidence that this has been addressed in a number of ways.</li> <li>Our general control environment around fraud controls has not changed from previous years, and I would consider that the following are relevant factors:-</li> <li>The Anti-Fraud and Corruption report that came to Audit Committee in January 2019, showed that officers had considered all the national trends in fraud, and that SWAP audits and other measures such as participation in the National Fraud Initiative are targeted against the greatest risks. SWAP also make provision for anti-fraud work through their continued "key control audits" as part of their planned work programme. These audits focus on our financial and related systems that are exposed to the risk of fraudulent activity.</li> <li>I am aware that the work on the National Fraud Initiative has shown that our controls on Accounts Payable are already finding these potential issues and that these have already been resolved. We have a lot of confidence in our key systems such as Accounts Payable and Accounts Receivable. There are all the normal controls in our SAP Financial system that makes fraud less likely, such as division of duties around ordering and receiving of goods. Also, I am told that with our difficult financial position, only the most senior officers. There have been no comments from SWAP in their audits of these key systems that have raised fraud alarms.</li> </ul>		103.
<ul> <li>controls has not changed from previous years, and I would consider that the following are relevant factors:-</li> <li>The Anti-Fraud and Corruption report that came to Audit Committee in January 2019, showed that officers had considered all the national trends in fraud, and that SWAP audits and other measures such as participation in the National Fraud Initiative are targeted against the greatest risks. SWAP also make provision for anti-fraud work through their continued "key control audits" as part of their planned work programme. These audits focus on our financial and related systems that are exposed to the risk of fraudulent activity.</li> <li>I am aware that the work on the National Fraud Initiative has shown that our controls on Accounts Payable are already been resolved. We have a lot of confidence in our key systems such as Accounts Payable and Accounts Receivable. There are all the normal controls in our SAP Financial system that makes fraud less likely, such as division of duties around ordering and receiving of goods. Also, I am told that with our difficult financial position, only the most senior officers can approve orders for the higher cost orders, with anything over £50,000 needing to be signed off by a SLT officer. There have been no comments from SWAP in their audits of these key systems that have raised fraud alarms.</li> </ul>	misstatement in the financial	Committee in the previous financial year, from officers, from SWAP as our internal auditors, and from previous Grant Thornton reports, I have a high level of confidence that this has been addressed in a
Audit Committee in January 2019, showed that officers had considered all the national trends in fraud, and that SWAP audits and other measures such as participation in the National Fraud Initiative are targeted against the greatest risks. SWAP also make provision for anti-fraud work through their continued "key control audits" as part of their planned work programme. These audits focus on our financial and related systems that are exposed to the risk of fraudulent activity. I am aware that the work on the National Fraud Initiative has shown that our controls on Accounts Payable are already finding these potential issues and that these have already been resolved. We have a lot of confidence in our key systems such as Accounts Payable and Accounts Receivable. There are all the normal controls in our SAP Financial system that makes fraud less likely, such as division of duties around ordering and receiving of goods. Also, I am told that with our difficult financial position, only the most senior officers can approve orders for the higher cost orders, with anything over £50,000 needing to be signed off by a SLT officer. There have been no comments from SWAP in their audits of these key systems that have raised fraud alarms.		controls has not changed from previous years, and I would consider that the following are relevant
Initiative has shown that our controls on Accounts Payable are already finding these potential issues and that these have already been resolved. We have a lot of confidence in our key systems such as Accounts Payable and Accounts Receivable. There are all the normal controls in our SAP Financial system that makes fraud less likely, such as division of duties around ordering and receiving of goods. Also, I am told that with our difficult financial position, only the most senior officers can approve orders for the higher cost orders, with anything over £50,000 needing to be signed off by a SLT officer. There have been no comments from SWAP in their audits of these key systems that have raised fraud alarms.		Audit Committee in January 2019, showed that officers had considered all the national trends in fraud, and that SWAP audits and other measures such as participation in the National Fraud Initiative are targeted against the greatest risks. SWAP also make provision for anti-fraud work through their continued "key control audits" as part of their planned work programme. These audits focus on our financial and related systems that are exposed
What are the results of this There are also strong controls in place around the		Initiative has shown that our controls on Accounts Payable are already finding these potential issues and that these have already been resolved. We have a lot of confidence in our key systems such as Accounts Payable and Accounts Receivable. There are all the normal controls in our SAP Financial system that makes fraud less likely, such as division of duties around ordering and receiving of goods. Also, I am told that with our difficult financial position, only the most senior officers can approve orders for the higher cost orders, with anything over £50,000 needing to be signed off by a SLT officer. There have been no comments from SWAP in their audits of these key systems that have raised fraud
	What are the results of this	There are also strong controls in place around the

process?	letting of contracts. Our procurement systems mean that contracts are let through a heavily prescribed process, with a number of trained staff involved and a formal Decision to let the contract. From the Anti-Fraud and Corruption report, it is clear that there have been a number of fraud and corruption allegations made that the relevant officers are investigating. Whilst it is disappointing to see that there are cases that need to be investigated, officers have informed me that (i) these cases involve relatively small amounts, (ii) that all cases are actively investigated in line with our policies, and (iii) that in many cases, the initial intelligence has come from members of staff coming forward with our concerns. I understand from the officers that the number of cases is slightly lower than previous years and not unduly high given the national picture.
	At the January 2019 meeting, the Audit Committee re-endorsed our policies on fraud and corruption, bribery and money laundering. We operate a "zero tolerance" policy and investigate all allegations We always encourage our staff to come forward with any concerns, such as through the Whistleblowing Policy, and I understand that this has been followed by our staff in some instances in 2018/19.
	A further control against fraud is through our budget monitoring. Budget holders and financial staff who are familiar with the services that they support is regularly carried out, and reported back to senior managers monthly and Cabinet quarterly. Any potentially fraudulent activities that would be large enough to impact on the financial statements would be highly visible in this work, and would be investigated. Further, Corporate Finance staff who compile the Statement of Accounts are highly experienced and trained in this task, and do carry out comparative analysis year on year, and any anomalies would be questioned.
What processes do the Council and Pension Fund have in place to	I would again refer you to the recent Audit Committee paper on the topic, which includes both
rension i unu nave in place to	Committee paper on the topic, which includes both

identify and respond to risks of fraud?	1.	and planned actions in combatting known rging fraud risks (such as the new audit on
	Combatt	ing Tax Evasion and the Criminal Finance , included in our Internal Audit Plan for
	our inter place reli Their sup Plan, whi Committ risks in e	of the "3 lines of defence", our last line is nal audit function. Audit Committee can fance on the work undertaken by SWAP. oport is set out within the Internal Audit ch is approved annually by the Audit ee. All auditors will remain vigilant for fraud very audit, and for 2018/19, the audits that cularly relevant included:-
	i)	The Plan included full audits on 3 financial systems – Accounts Payable, Accounts
	ii)	Receivable and Payroll (STAR system). A specific audit was included on Combatting Tax Evasion, and the results of this work have informed the 2019/2020 Plan.
	iii)	Other specific audit included in the plan, which at least in part cover fraud risks are as follows:
		<ul> <li>Ethical Governance, the scope of which included members and officers' declarations of interest and gifts and hospitality.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Blue Badges which covered supporting evidence of entitlement.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Adults Client Finances</li> <li>Children's Direct Payments</li> <li>Troubled Families funding certification</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Broadband Delivery UK audit certification</li> <li>Schools Financial Management</li> </ul>
		<ul><li>audit work</li><li>The use of Schools Sports and PE</li></ul>
	iv)	Grants An allowance was made for anti-fraud and corruption support, and SWAP have

	<ul> <li>provided further days during the year on individual cases as they have arisen.</li> <li>v) Our Internal Audit Plan is set on a number of key principles, which includes deliberately targeting high risk and high budget areas.</li> <li>The Pension Fund adopts the same key policies used by SCC in relation to fraud prevention and minimising the risk of fraud. The National Fraud Initiative includes a number of sections on pensions, which are checked by key Peninsula Pensions staff on our behalf.</li> </ul>
Have any specific fraud risks, or areas with a high risk of fraud, been identified and what has been done to mitigate these risks?	There are no fraud risks that are "Somerset specific". As an upper tier local authority, there are a number of fraud risks that we have in common with similar organisations, such as Council Tax and Business Rate frauds, Blue Badges, pensions and payroll, concessionary fares and procurement. (Although Council Tax fraud is not actually perpetrated against the County Council, it is we who stand to lose the most, and therefore we have been proactive in supporting our Districts to investigate). There is a risk that pensioner deaths are not identified in a timely manner and that payments continue. However, periodical mortality screening checks are carried out (covering both the UK and overseas) to identify and recover potential overpayments.
Are internal controls, including segregation of duties, in place and operating effectively?	Yes. The relevant SWAP audit reports continue to provide assurance that adequate division of duties are in place.
If not, where are the risk areas and what mitigating actions have been taken?	The Audit Committee has had an update to the Partial audit on the subject of Debtor Management, and continues to take an active interest in debt recovery. Most of the recommendations for improvements were about service actions and processes outside the Accounts Receivable system, and were more concerned with timeliness of referral for legal debt recovery and administrative tasks. I understand that additional training has been rolled out during the year to support the new mandatory

	Income Code of Practice.
Are there any areas where there is a potential for override of controls or inappropriate influence over the financial reporting process (for example because of undue pressure to achieve financial targets)?	I am not aware of any instances where management have overridden controls or have tried to influence the financial reporting of the position to achieve financial targets.
Are there any areas where there is a potential for misreporting?	None that I am aware of.
How does the Audit Committee exercise oversight over management's processes for identifying and responding to risks of fraud?	See information above about audit reports received.
What arrangements are in place to report fraud issues and risks to the Audit Committee?	The Anti-Fraud and Corruption Policy sets out the ways to report and investigate fraud. There are a number of ways in which a fraud can be reported and officers or members to whom an allegation could be raised (including myself as Chair).
	The normal reporting of frauds comes through the Chief Finance Officer (s151) or the Chief Internal Auditor. Should there be fraudulent activity that needs more urgent reporting, I would expect the officer to inform me directly, otherwise there is a verbal update to each Audit Committee and also a formal report annually in January.
	If a fraud were to impact on the Pensions Fund, I would expect the officers to bring this to the next Pensions Board in addition.
How do the Council and Pension Fund communicate and encourage ethical behaviour of its staff and contractors?	There are many ways in which this is achieved. I understand that the Director of Finance's report will include a response on this topic, but generally this is done through the 4Cs and through positive messages such as successes reported from the Leader and Chief Executive through Our Somerset. For the policy side, we have Codes of Conduct and Standards in both HR and Finance, and there are often communications about policies in Core Brief,

	which goes to staff monthly.
	I am told that all of our procurement processes require the bidders to sign and confirm Anti- Collusion declarations. All outside organisations contracted by the Pensions Fund are bound within strict ethical behaviours set out in their contract.
How do you encourage staff to report their concerns about fraud? Have any significant issues been reported?	The Anti-Fraud and Corruption Policy makes it clear that every effort will be made to keep allegations anonymous. The report does not have to be to an officer's line manager if that could be difficult, and many alternatives are set out within the Policy to make reporting easier. Similar provisions are made in the Whistleblowing Policy. No significant issues have been reported in 2018/2019.
Are you aware of any related party relationships or transactions that could give rise to risks of fraud?	None that I am aware of. Officers and members are governed by their respective Codes of Conduct. Members and senior officers are obliged to sign documentation about their interests, and members are required to declare any interests at all relevant meetings.
Are you aware of any instances of actual, suspected or alleged, fraud, either within the Council since 1 April 2018?	I am not aware of any new fraudulent allegations other than cases previously reported from earlier financial years.

## Law and regulation

Auditor Question	Response
What arrangements do the Council and Pension Fund have in place to prevent and detect non- compliance with laws and regulations?	As above – strong anti-fraud and corruption measures, strong procurement controls and contract monitoring, and SWAP as internal auditor.
	There is also the role of the Monitoring Officer.
How does management gain assurance that all relevant laws and regulations have been complied with?	By employing staff with the relevant professional qualifications, skills and knowledge in the critical posts.
How is the Audit Committee provided with assurance that all relevant laws and regulations have been complied with?	As above. As Chair of the Audit Committee, I would "call in" any area of the County Council if I had doubts about compliance.
	If an audit only achieves Partial assurance, the relevant officers are obliged to attend a public Audit Committee meeting to provide the necessary assurance that the agree improvement plan is completed, and this remains "open" until this has been done.
Have there been any instances of non-compliance or suspected non- compliance with law and regulation since 1 April 2018?	None that I am aware of.
What arrangements do the Council and Pension Fund have in place to identify, evaluate and account for litigation or claims?	I am told that it is much more likely that the County Council will initiate litigation rather than defend it. I am told that the Director of Finance will respond in relation to individual cases.
Is there any actual or potential litigation or claims that would affect the financial statements?	Officers will assess any outstanding legal cases at year end and determine whether they could impact on our financial statements. They are treated in accordance with prescribed accounting processes to ensure that the accounts reflect any genuine exposure that the County Council may have.
Have there been any reports from other regulatory bodies, such as HM Revenues and Customs, which	None that I am aware of.

indicate non-compliance?	